UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JACQUELINE LASSITER

v.

URT
FTS
C.A.# 04-10550-WGY

C.A.# 04-10550-WGY

C.A.# 04-10550-WGY

C.A.# 04-10550-WGY OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS CLAIM UNDER 42 USC SS 1985-1986

KIMBER ANDERSON ET AL.

The plaintiff opposes the defendants' Motion To Dismiss Claim under SS.1985-6.

Plaintiff states that the well pleaded facts of the Verified Complaint, allege specifically that the defendants Anderson and Gibbs, conspired to cause plaintiff to be subjected to racial discrimination in the terms and conditions of employment, to retaliation for her opposition to racial discrimination and eventually, to termination, in violation of her rights under S.1981, which provides the substantive basis for the conspiracy count. See, Kwoun v. S.E. Mo. Pro. Standards Review Org., 620 F.Supp. 520 (D.C., Mo. 1985).

Plaintiff relies on the facts of the Verified Complaint, on file in this action.

By her Attorney

W/Kendall

136 Warren Street Roxbury, Ma.02119

(617) 442-6130

WINSTON KENDALL ATTORNEY AT LAW

WINSTON D. KENDALL

13th.April, 604

136 WARREN STREET ROXBURY, MASSACHUSETTS 02119

Clerk's Office-Civil U.S. District Court Suite 2300 1 Courthouse Way Boston,Ma.02210

Re: C.A.#04-10550-WGY Lassiter v. Anderson et al.

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed, please find for filing: Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendants' Motion To Dismiss Claim Under SS 1985-1986, Memorandum and Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

//W.Kendall

Thank you.

enclosures cc George Kostakos, esq.